



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,378	11/16/2001	Dorothy E. Denning	774070-8	7029

23879 7590 07/05/2005

BRIAN M BERLINER, ESQ
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
400 SOUTH HOPE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2899

EXAMINER

POLTORAK, PIOTR

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/992,378	Applicant(s) DENNING ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter Poltorak	Art Unit 2134	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter Poltorak. (3) Brian Berliner.

(2) Gregory Morse. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6/16/05

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Menezes (Alfred J. Menezes, Paul C. van Oorschot, Scott A. Vanstone, "Handbook of applied cryptography", 1997, ISBN: 0849385237) in view of Murphy (U.S. Patent No. 6317500).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed ammendment to claim 1 has been discussed on 6/16/05 The parties agreed that Menezes in view of Murphy as cited in the original Office Action would not read on claim 1 if applicant's proposed ammendment were to be apply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

David Y. Jung
Primary Examiner

 6/25/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

RD